

25X1

SECRET

9-1806

**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

**SUBJECT:** Inspector General's Suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency

**REFERENCE:** My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommen-  
dation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our  
proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

**FRANK G. WISNER**  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

*Initialed  
RTH*

*In checking "red material" unable to locate the original  
of this document. Assume it was passed back by hand.  
No record whether approval all proposals made.*

*IG - 1957*

SECRET

SECRET

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in  (as being revised) to identify substandard employees and

SECRET

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Cb. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

9-7806

SEP 16 1957

**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

**SUBJECT:** Inspector General's Suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency

**REFERENCE:** My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommen-  
dation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our  
proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER\*

**FRANK G. WISNER**  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P ✓  
1: C/FI  
1: C/I&R

25X1

DD/P-I&R   
9 September 1957

*Initials  
R.H.*

**SECRET**

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in  (as being revised) to identify substandard employees and

**SECRET**

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the CS. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.



☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ CIA INTERNAL

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☒ SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005-7

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

1R-3903

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Deputy Director (Plans)

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

REC'D

FWD'D

1.

C/FI

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM

1 DEC 55

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005-7

☒ SECRET

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ CIA INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

**SECRET**

25X1

9-7806

OCT 15 1957

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence**

**SUBJECT: Inspector General's suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency**

**REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject**

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommen-  
dation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our  
proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

**FRANK G. WISNER**  
**Deputy Director (Plans)**

**Attachment**

**Distribution:**

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P  
1: C/FI —  
1: C/I&R

25X1 DD/P-I&R/   
9 September 1957

SECRET

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the C and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the C.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the C be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the C as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the C to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in [ ] (as being revised) to identify substandard employees and

**SECRET**

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Cb. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

~~SECRET~~

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

25X1

9-1806

OCT 13 1957

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence**

**SUBJECT: Inspector General's suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency**

**REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject**

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommen-  
dation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our  
proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

**FRANK G. WISNER  
Deputy Director (Plans)**

**Attachment**

**Distribution:**

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P  
1: C/FI  
1: C/I&R —

DD/P-I&R/ [ ]  
9 September 1957

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in  as

being revised) to identify substandard employees and

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the C. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of



our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

UNCLASSIFIED

CIA INTERNAL

Approved For Release

USE ONLY

Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B016000000000160005-7

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

1R-3903

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Deputy Director (Plans)

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

REC'D

FWD'D

1.

C/I&amp;R

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM 1 DEC 55

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITION

Approved For Release

SECRET

Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B016000000000160005-7

CONFIDENTIAL

CIA INTERNAL

USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	SECRET
--------------	--------------	---------------------------------------	--------

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	25X1		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

25X1

Remarks:

25X1 We have checked practically through the entire agency for the original of this document - and, if   memory serves her correctly, she recalls that Mr.   was most interested in these replies from the Deputies and requested the DDCI office to send all such replies directly to him even tho addressed to Gen. Cabell. Would you mind checking to see whether or not you have such original?

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
	25 Feb 59

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

25X1

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE	7 Jan. 1959
TO: <input type="text"/>			
ROOM NO. 202	BUILDING Admin		
REMARKS:  Returned per our telephone conversation. Neither the I&R Staff nor the O/DDP has ever received the original of the attached document.			
<input type="text"/>			
FROM: I&R Staff			
ROOM NO. 2503	BUILDING K	EXTENSION <input type="text"/>	
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)	

25X1

25X1

25X1

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE	1/2/59
TO: Mr. <input type="text"/> <i>DDP</i>			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:  I have searched for the original of this document in O-DCI and am unable to locate it. I assume it has been returned your office.  I am returning the carbons with the request that you mark them with whatever action was taken by DCI, if any, and then make what distribution you think might be necessary. I believe the IG would like a copy of this merely as a matter of continuity.			
<input type="text"/>			
FROM:			
ROOM NO. 202m	BUILDING Admin	EXTENSION <input type="text"/>	

25X1

25X1

ER:

Please put in file - I'll NEVER be able to find out whether this was signed or not, or where the original is - so just stick it away in file and we'll forget about it until some fine day when someone else might have a question on it.

25X1 [ ] 1/18/61

25X1

Talked with Mr. [ ] on this 12/1/59. He thinks [ ] has the orig of this in his safe-safe. States this is academic now -- many of the recommendations have been or are being implemented in one form or another.

25X1

Hold for awhile yet.

mfb 12/1/59

*To ER file on 18 Jan 1961  
since unable determine  
where orig. is.*

[ ]

25X1

*18 Jan 61*